

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: John D. Hyde et al.

SERIAL NO.: 10/815,474

FILING DATE: March 31, 2004

TITLE: RFID Tags Combining Signals Received from Multiple RF Ports

EXAMINER: Unassigned

ART UNIT: 2681

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: MAIL STOP: Office of Initial Patent Examination's Filing Receipt Corrections, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date printed below:

Date: 12/22/2004Signature: Beatrice Orozco

Beatrice Orozco

**MAIL STOP: Office of Initial Patent Examination's
Filing Receipt Corrections
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

PATENT PRACTITIONERS TO BE MADE OF RECORD

Please recognize the following ten patent practitioners in the attached Declaration and Power of Attorney as being of record in the application or patent to which the power of attorney is directed:

David B. Ritchie, Reg. No. 31,562
 Marc S. Hanish, Reg. No. 42,626
 John P. Schaub, Reg. No. 42,125
 Thierry K. Lo, Reg. No. 49,097
 Masako Ando, (37 C.F.R. §10.9 (b))


Robert E. Krebs, Reg. No. 25,885
 Khaled Shami, Reg. No. 38,745
 Steven J. Robbins, Reg. No. 40,299
 Hal J. Bohner, Reg. No. 27,856
 Gregory T. Kavounas, Reg. No. 37,862

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any

overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-1698.

Respectfully submitted,
THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP

Dated: December 7, 2004



David B. Ritchie
Reg. No. 31,562

THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP
P.O. Box 640640
San Jose, CA 95164-0640
Telephone: (408) 292-5800
Fax: (408) 287-8040

DECLARATION & POWER OF ATTORNEY

DEC 06 2004

PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

As a below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My correct city and state of residence, my post office address and my citizenship are stated below next to my name.

I believe myself to be the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original and first joint inventor (if more than one name is listed below) of the subject matter which is disclosed and claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

"RFID TAGS COMBINING SIGNALS RECEIVED FROM MULTIPLE RF PORTS"

The specification of this subject matter:

is attached hereto.

X was filed on March 31, 2004;

was assigned serial No. 10/815,474;

which was amended on _____.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified patent application, including the claims, as amended by any amendment(s) referred to above. I do not know and do not believe that the claimed invention was ever known or used in the United States of America before my invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my invention thereof or more than one year prior to this application, that the same was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to this application, and that the invention has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months (for a utility patent application) or six months (for a design patent application) prior to this application.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.

PRIOR FOREIGN APPLICATION(S)

Priority Claimed

Number	Country	Month/Day/Year Filed	Yes	No
--------	---------	----------------------	-----	----

PROVISIONAL PATENT APPLICATION(S)

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

Application Number	Filing Date
--------------------	-------------

Application Number	Filing Date
--------------------	-------------

PARENT PATENT APPLICATION(S)

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in these prior United States application(s) in the manner provided by 35 U.S.C. §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
-----------------	-------------	-------------------------------------

Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
-----------------	-------------	-------------------------------------

I hereby appoint David B. Ritchie, Registration No. 31,562; Robert E. Krebs, Registration No. 25,885; Marc S. Hanish, Registration No. 42,626; John P. Schaub, Registration No. 42,125; Adrienne Yeung, Registration No. 44,000; Steven J. Robbins, Registration No. 40,299; Thierry K. Lo, Registration No. 49,097; William Samuel Niece, Registration No.: 47,824; Kalhed Shami Registration No. 38,745; William E. Winters, Registration No. 42,232; Masako Ando, (37 C.F.R. §10.9 (b)); John Klaas Uilkema, Registration No. 20,282; Becky L. Troutman, Registration No. 36,703; Hal J. Bohner, Registration No. 27,856; and Gregory T. Kavounas, Registration No. 37,862 as attorneys of record with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. If this application is assigned by me I agree and understand that the above-named attorneys will represent the assignee and not me.

Please send all correspondence and direct all telephone calls to:

David B. Ritchie
 Thelen Reid & Priest LLP
 P.O. Box 640640
 San Jose, CA 95164-0640
 Telephone: (408) 292-5800
 Facsimile: (408) 287-8040

I, the undersigned, declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing therefrom.

I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made upon information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

INVENTOR 1:

X
SIGNATURE

John D. Hyde

7/29/2004
DATE

NAME:	FIRST	MIDDLE OR MI	LAST
37845 Soap Creek Road P.O. ADDRESS: # AND STREET APT#		Corvallis CITY	OR STATE 97330 ZIP

CITIZENSHIP: USA

INVENTOR 2:

X
SIGNATURE

DATE

NAME:	FIRST	MIDDLE OR MI	LAST
13621 178 th Ave NE P.O. ADDRESS: # AND STREET APT#		Redmond CITY	WA STATE 98052 ZIP

CITIZENSHIP: Canadian

INVENTOR 3:

X
SIGNATURE

DATE

NAME:	FIRST	MIDDLE OR MI	LAST
2102 NE 68 th St. P.O. ADDRESS: # AND STREET APT#		Seattle CITY	WA STATE 98115 ZIP

CITIZENSHIP: USA

37 C.F.R. §1.56
Duty to disclose information material to patentability

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and

- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
- (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

(c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

- (1) Each inventor named in the application;
- (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

DECLARATION & POWER OF ATTORNEY



As a below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My correct city and state of residence, my post office address and my citizenship are stated below next to my name.

I believe myself to be the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original and first joint inventor (if more than one name is listed below) of the subject matter which is disclosed and claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

"RFID TAGS COMBINING SIGNALS RECEIVED FROM MULTIPLE RF PORTS"

The specification of this subject matter:

is attached hereto.

was filed on March 31, 2004;

was assigned serial No. 10/815,474;

which was amended on _____;

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified patent application, including the claims, as amended by any amendment(s) referred to above. I do not know and do not believe that the claimed invention was ever known or used in the United States of America before my invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my invention thereof or more than one year prior to this application, that the same was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to this application, and that the invention has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months (for a utility patent application) or six months (for a design patent application) prior to this application.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.

PRIOR FOREIGN APPLICATION(S)

Priority Claimed

Number	Country	Month/Day/Year Filed	Yes	No
--------	---------	----------------------	-----	----

PROVISIONAL PATENT APPLICATION(S)

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

Application Number	Filing Date
--------------------	-------------

Application Number	Filing Date
--------------------	-------------

PARENT PATENT APPLICATION(S)

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in these prior United States application(s) in the manner provided by 35 U.S.C. §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
-----------------	-------------	-------------------------------------

Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
-----------------	-------------	-------------------------------------

I hereby appoint David B. Ritchie, Registration No. 31,562; Robert E. Krebs, Registration No. 25,885; Marc S. Hanish, Registration No. 42,626; John P. Schaub, Registration No. 42,125; Adrienne Yeung, Registration No. 44,000; Steven J. Robbins, Registration No. 40,299; Thierry K. Lo, Registration No. 49,097; William Samuel Niece, Registration No.: 47,824; Kalhed Shami Registration No. 38,745; William E. Winters, Registration No. 42,232; Masako Ando, (37 C.F.R. §10.9 (b)); John Klaas Uilkema, Registration No. 20,282; Becky L. Troutman, Registration No. 36,703; Hal J. Bohner, Registration No. 27,856; and Gregory T. Kavounas, Registration No. 37,862 as attorneys of record with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. If this application is assigned by me I agree and understand that the above-named attorneys will represent the assignee and not me.

Please send all correspondence and direct all telephone calls to:

David B. Ritchie
 Thelen Reid & Priest LLP
 P.O. Box 640640
 San Jose, CA 95164-0640
 Telephone: (408) 292-5800
 Facsimile: (408) 287-8040

I, the undersigned, declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing therefrom.

I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made upon information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

INVENTOR 1:

<u>X</u> SIGNATURE	DATE		
NAME: John	D. MIDDLE OR MI	LAST Hyde	
37845 Soap Creek Road P.O. ADDRESS: # AND STREET APT#	Corvallis CITY	OR STATE	97330 ZIP

CITIZENSHIP: USA

INVENTOR 2:

<u>X</u> SIGNATURE	DATE Aug/03/04		
NAME: Omer	MIDDLE OR MI	LAST Onen	
13621 178 th Ave NE P.O. ADDRESS: # AND STREET APT#	Redmond CITY	WA STATE	98052 ZIP

CITIZENSHIP: Canadian

INVENTOR 3:

<u>X</u> SIGNATURE Ronald A. Oliver	DATE 29 JULY 2004		
NAME: Ronald	A. MIDDLE OR MI	LAST Oliver	
2102 NE 68 th St. P.O. ADDRESS: # AND STREET APT#	Seattle CITY	WA STATE	98115 ZIP

CITIZENSHIP: USA

37 C.F.R. §1.56

Duty to disclose information material to patentability

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and

- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
- (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

(c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

- (1) Each inventor named in the application;
- (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.